

Subject: Re: Mahsa Parviz
From: Michael Tsivyan <michael@tsivyanesq.com>
Date: 8/25/26, 12:19 PM
To: "Andrew G. Watters" <andrew@watters.law>

Andrew:

Your settlement offer has been rejected. No counter-offer will be forthcoming.

As you know, during the last hearing the judge directed me to prepare a proposed order. I have prepared it and would like to serve it on you. Since you are an unrepresented party, I can serve court papers on you electronically only if you file and serve a notice stating that you consent to electronic service. I am attaching form EFS-005-CV for your convenience. Please fill it out, file and serve it as soon as possible so that I can serve the proposed order on you. If you decline to give your consent, I will have to send you the proposed order by mail.

Michael.

On Mon, Aug 24, 2026 at 10:45AM Andrew G. Watters <andrew@watters.law> wrote:

*** Settlement Communication ***

Hi Michael,

I have the following offer for Mahsa that expires tomorrow the 24th at 6 p.m. Please let me know if Mahsa would agree to this or if you wish to discuss further. These terms would be in the form of a stipulation for dismissal, which will be filed with the court and becomes a court order (assuming approval by the judge, which is expected):

1. Mahsa's DV petition is dismissed with prejudice and the Temporary Restraining Order thereunder shall be dissolved. Parties continue to maintain their positions as to what happened between them, and Andrew maintains that he has done nothing wrong and denies all of Mahsa's claims.
2. Upon the dismissal of the DV filing being approved by the court and the dismissal appearing on the docket, Andrew shall delete all content about Mahsa from his website within 24 hours or as soon as he receives a copy of the order, whichever is earlier, including but not limited to the Hall of Shame web page and the case documents from the various cases between the parties.
3. The parties release each other from any and all claims either has against the other, except that Andrew expressly reserves the right to explain in future court filings or administrative filings (for example, in connection with his CCW permit renewal) the circumstances of this settlement. In all other forums including the world wide web or otherwise online, the parties shall merely state that they have amicably settled their dispute on a confidential basis.

This offer is only open until tomorrow at 6 p.m. because I will be revisiting my filings in the trial court and in the court of appeal at

that time. The sole reason I am making this offer is that I want to close this dispute out once and for all, in order to focus on my family and move forward without dwelling on the past. I'm offering to settle in order to give Mahsa what she wants while also getting what I want--avoiding any further interactions and avoiding her trashing me further with the local bench. Ironically, we both win in this scenario, and I will wish her the best in rebuilding her life. Please let me know.

Thanks,

Andrew G. Watters, Esq.
Managing Partner
Watters & Jacobs, LLP
andrew@watters.law
+1 (650) 542-0057,800
<https://www.watters.law>

On 8/24/26 8:46 AM, Andrew G. Watters wrote:

- > What exactly does she want in order to settle her case against me?
- > Please let me know, thanks.
- >
- > Andrew Watters

— Attachments: —

efs005cv.pdf

82.8 KB